



SCF000005 General Comments

I commend DTSC for its initiation of the new STREAM platform.

STREAM promises that submitted questions, concerns and opinions from stakeholders will be directly addressed, in writing, for the record. This is good. From a personal point of view, it addresses a frustration that I have had with DTSC. Over the last four years, I have communicated technical comments with DTSC management on a variety of subjects, including the legacy SB-990, the 2020 Amendment to Order on Consent and its consequences, and the 2022 Settlement Agreement. With the exception of a response to a 2021 PRA request for DTSC-Hirsch communications, DTSC has summarily ignored my communications.

It may appear arrogant, but I feel that my 25-year experience as senior manager of radiation safety for Boeing at SSFL, involved in all aspects of occupational radiation protection, nuclear decommissioning, onsite and offsite environmental radiological characterizing/monitoring/sampling, worker health studies, community health studies, waste management, etc., would garner a little more attention and consideration than DTSC gives to its other perennial detractors ... sorry ... stakeholders.

One disadvantage of STREAM is that it appears that the response to comments (RTCs) will be visible to only the individual submitter and to DTSC. In the interests of openness and transparency, it would be beneficial if RTCs were visible to all.